

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/980,475	12/03/2001	Akinori Arimura	0032-0264P	3377		
2292	7590 03/31/2003					
BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH			EXAMINER			
PO BOX 747	OH VA 22040 0747	RAO, DEEPAK R				
FALLS CHUR	FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747					
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			1624			
		DATE MAILED: 03/31/2003				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No. 09/980,475

Applicant(s)

Examiner

Arimura et al.

Office Action Summary

Deepak Rao

Art Unit **1624**



	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover she	et with	the correspondence address		
	or Reply			•		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.						
- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SiX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.						
If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status	,					
1) 💢	Responsive to communication(s) filed on Dec 3, 20	001		·		
2a) 🗌	This action is FINAL . 2b) 💢 This ac	tion is non-final.	•			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims					
4) 💢	Claim(s) 1-23			all are pending in the application.		
4	a) Of the above, claim(s)			is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
5) 🗆	Claim(s)			is/are allowed.		
	Claim(s)					
	Claim(s)					
8) 💢	Claims <u>1-23</u>	are	subject	to restriction and/or election requirement.		
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is:	a) 🗌 a	pproved b) \square disapproved by the Examiner.		
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply	to this Office act	ion.			
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some* c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
:	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
*See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).						
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)						
_	cice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Sum	mary (PTO	-413) Paper No(s)		
	ice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	_		Application (PTO-152)		
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						

Application/Control Number: 09/980,475 Page 2

Art Unit: 1624

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1-23 are pending this application.

Election/Restrictions

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1-4 (in part) and 6-23 (in part), drawn to composition comprising a compound of formula (I) wherein rings A and B are phenyl and ring C is pyridyl and corresponding method of use.

Group II, claim(s) 1-4 (in part) and 6-23 (in part), drawn to composition comprising a compound of formula (I) wherein rings A and B are phenyl and ring C is pyrimidinyl and corresponding method of use.

Group III, claim(s) 1-4 (in part) and 6-23 (in part), drawn to composition comprising a compound of formula (I) wherein rings A and B are phenyl and ring C is pyridazinyl and corresponding method of use.

Application/Control Number: 09/980,475 Page 3

Art Unit: 1624

Group IV, claim(s) 1-4 (in part) and 6-23 (in part), drawn to composition comprising a compound of formula (I) wherein rings A and B are phenyl and ring C is pyrazinyl and corresponding method of use.

Group V, claim(s) 1-4 (in part), 5 and 6-23 (in part), drawn to composition comprising a compound of formula (I) wherein rings A, B and C are phenyl and corresponding method of use.

Group VI, claim(s) 1-4 (in part) and 6-23 (in part), drawn to composition comprising a compound of formula (I) wherein rings A, B and C are other than those of Groups I-V and corresponding method of use.

The inventions listed as Groups I-VI do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons:

The compounds of Groups I-VI are drawn to structurally dissimilar compounds. They are made independently and used independently. They would be expected to raise different issues of patentability if a compound of Group I were anticipated, the anticipatory reference would not necessarily render obvious the other groups II-VI or vice-versa. They are not art recognized equivalents and require separate searches in the literature.

Unity of invention exists only with certain categories of invention as set forth in PCT Rule 13. Note that compounds, corresponding compositions, <u>a</u> method of use and <u>a</u> process of making are considered to form a single inventive concept as required by PCT Rule 13.1, 37 CFR 1.475(d). Additional Groups drawn to compounds as outlined above are not so linked as

Application/Control Number: 09/980,475

Page 4

Art Unit: 1624

they would require separate searches in the prior art and would be expected to raise different issues of novelty and nonobviousness. See PCT Rule 13.3 and 37 CFR 1.141(a), the latter of which states two or more independent, distinct inventions may not be claimed in one application.

In view of lack of unity of invention, the requirement for restriction for examination purposes indicated is proper.

This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1. Applicant is required to elect a single disclosed species falling within the elected group, even though this requirement is traversed. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Due to lengthy and complex nature, the restriction requirement is set forth in writing.

Application/Control Number: 09/980,475

Art Unit: 1624

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an

election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR

1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the

inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently

named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any

amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the

fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Deepak Rao whose telephone number is (703) 305-1879. The examiner

can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday from 6:30am to 5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Dr. Mukund Shah, can be reached on (703) 308-4716. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 308-4556.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1235

Primary Examiner

Page 5

Art Unit 1624

March 28, 2003